

Using Optimization Services in Datalog

Molham Aref, *Emir Pasalic*, Beata Sarna-Starosta, David Zook January 12, 2009



- Startup company based in Atlanta
 - http://www.logicblox.com
 - ~60 employees + academic collaborators
- Objective
 - Declarative database platform for automated decision support
 - · Simulation, optimization, data mining, advanced querying
 - Software as a service
- Applications:
 - Retail supply-chain management (Predictix),
 - On-demand business intelligence (Verabridge),
 - Program analysis (Semmle)





LogicBlox (LB) Technology

- Workspace
 - Provides efficient persistent data storage
 - Organizes data into predicates (like tables)
 - Stores programs, schemas and system parameters in a meta-model
- Logic Engine
 - Manipulates data based on program rules and constraints
 - Manages program execution
 - Handles concurrency, locking and transactions
- Clients
 - Communicate with the engine through Datalog programs
 - Write and install Datalog programs with the engine
 - Receive results of Datalog programs as data





Introduction to Datalog

- A **program** is a set of logical statements about a database
 - If stored data is changed, the Logic Engine recalculates the data to satisfy the program
 - The logic engine executes each program in a transaction
- A value is an atomic piece of data
 - Primitive types: floats, strings, integers
 - Entities: abstract user-defined atoms (like a C enum)
- A predicate is the only complex data-structure
 - Relations between values, like tables in SQL
 - e.g., parent, ancestor, name, etc.
 - Typed
 - Unary predicates (e.g., person) define types.

```
person(x) -> .
person:firstName(x,s) -> person(x), string(s).
person:lastName(x,s) -> person(x), string(s).
```

- person is a collection of abstract values (entities)
- person:firstName and person:lastName are binary predicates relating each person entity to strings



Datalog predicates

- Extensional predicates
 - Store externally provided data
 - Values can be added to extensional predicates declaratively
 +person(x), +person:firstName(x,"David"), +person:lastName(x,"Z.").
 +person(x), +person:firstName(x,"Beata"), +person:lastName(x,"S.").
 +person(x), +person:firstName(x,"Emir"), +person:lastName(x,"P.").
 - The program asserts three facts about the database
 - The Logic Engine satisfies this program by creating new entities, and inserting the appropriate tuples into the predicate storage
- Intensional predicates
 - Derived from extensional through rules person:name(x,n) <- person:firstName(x,first), person:lastName(x,last), n = first + last.
- Built-in support for functional predicates
 - person:firstName[x]=s means: for all x,s1,s2, if person:firstName(x,s1) and person:firstName(x,s2) then s1=s2.
 - The Logic Engine uses this fact for efficient execution and static checking



- A constraint is a logical assertion that is always satisfied by a database
 - Any program that violates the assertion is aborted
 - Works on both intensional and extensional predicates
 - Typing constraints person:firstName[x] = s -> person(x), string(s). person:firstName[x] = 43. // REJECTED!
 - Runtime constraints

 parent(p,c) -> person(p), person(c).
 !(parent(x,x)).
 - Declaring a new predicate parent that relates a parent to a child
 - Asserting a constraint that nobody can be their own parent
 - Can be (syntactically) positive or negative !(person(x), person:Age[x] < 0). person(x) -> person:Age[x] >= 0.





• A derivation rule is a logical specification of how predicates are computed from other predicates

```
person:name[x] = n -> person(x), string(n).
person:name[x] = n <-</pre>
```

```
n = person:firstName[x] + " " + person:lastName[x].
```

- The logic engine finds a set of tuples such that the head (person:name) of the rule is true whenever the body (n = person:firstName[x] + " " + person:lastName[x]) is true
 - Bottom-up evaluation: all possible facts will be derived
 - Incremental evaluation: if any predicates in the body change, only the smallest amount of computation will be performed to update person:name





• Support for recursion

```
parent(x,y) -> person(x), person(y).
ancestor(x,y) -> person(x), person(y).
ancestor(x,y) <- parent(x,y).
ancestor(x,y) <- ancestor(x,z), ancestor(z,y).</pre>
```

- Support for aggregation
 - Aggregation expressed by special rule syntax person:salary[p,m] = n -> person(p), month(m), float[32](n). person:salary:toDate[p,m] += (person:salary[p,prevM] where prevM < m).
 - Aggregation is built-in
 - Any particular aggregation is expressible in pure Datalog
 - But the general aggregation operator (like sum, count etc.) is not
 - Thus += is a special kind of rule that
 - iterates through all tuples on the right hand side that produce a value
 - sums up those values and stores them in the left-hand-side predicate





- A block is a collection of predicates, rules and constraints
 - Clients communicate with the logic engine by sending blocks to it
 - Logic Engine installs and executes blocks
 - Installed blocks: database lifetime
 - Executed blocks: single-transaction lifetime
 - Blocks form modules in a workspace
 - Control visibility of predicates
 - Can be added and removed as a unit



Properties of (LB) Datalog

- Guaranteed termination
 - Datalog programs capture exactly the PTIME complexity class
- Purely declarative
 - A subset of first order logic
 - E.g., unlike prolog conjunction is commutative
 - No fixed evaluation strategy implicit in the program
 - Logic engine determines data structures, persistence, execution strategy, memory management
- Efficient execution
 - Persistence
 - Parallelization
 - Query optimization techniques
 - Incremental execution





Optimization

- Cannot solve problems harder than PTIME in Datalog
 - E.g., MIP solvers (they are NP problems)
 - There are many applications for linear/mixed-integer programming
 - Scheduling (shift assignment, flight assignment etc)
 - Retail replenishment planning
- Problem: How to give LogicBlox users the power of escaping the PTIME complexity bounds while maintaining good properties of Datalog?
- Solution: Interface LB Datalog with specialized solvers
- Challenges:
 - Interface with solvers in a declarative/pure way
 - Leverage specialized knowledge embodied in existing implementations
 - Give users the flexibility in interacting with the solvers without compromising the purity of the language
 - Integrate optimization seamlessly with the LB logic engine



Integrating Optimization with LB Datalog

- Use existing Datalog syntax
 - Represent variables and parameters as predicates
 - Represent constraints as runtime constraints
 - Invoke solvers using (slightly extended) rule syntax
- Users still write only high-level specifications
 - Logic engine delegates the solver to calculate values satisfying variable predicates
 - The engine verifies the solutions "for free" by executing runtime constraints



Optimization Services (OS)

- A project developed under COIN/OR
 - "a set of standards for representing optimization instances, results, solver options, and communication between clients and solvers in a distributed environment using Web Services."
- Key technology in integrating solvers with LB
- C/C++ and XML Implementation
 - Integrates well with our code base (in C++)
 - Cross-platform



COIN/OR Optimization Services

- OSiL
 - Provides a standard API to construct a single problem instance
- OSoL
 - Provides a standard API to specify solver options
- OSrL
 - Provides a standard API to retrieve results of a solver running on an instance
 - Returns values for instance variables
 - Other information (not used in LB)
- OSI
 - Provides a standard API to interact with multiple solvers
 - Pluggable drivers for executing instances on different solvers
 - COIN-OR LP solver (OsiClp) and COIN-OR Branch and Cut solver (CoinBcp); CPLEX (OsiCpx); DyLP (OsiDylp); FortMP (OsiFmp); GLPK, the GNU Linear Programming Kit (OsiGlpk); Mosek (OsiMsk); OSL, the IBM Optimization Subroutine Library (OsiOsl); SYMPHONY (OsiSym); The Volume Algorithm (OsiVol); XPRESS-MP (OsiXpr).



Optimization in Datalog

- Compiler
 - Rewrites a Datalog block containing definitions of variables, parameters, objective functions and constraints into a low-level mathematical specification of the optimization problem
- Execution engine extension
 - Executes the optimization problem specification by supplementing standard Datalog rule execution semantics
 - Invisible to the user
 - Seamlessly integrates with non-optimization based rules
 - Logically preserves semantics of Datalog





- Find (based on special syntax) the set of variable predicates
- Identify the runtime constraints that involve the variable predicates
 - check constraints for basic feasibility
 - linear arithmetic
 - correct use of indexes on variables and parameters
- Identify predicates that represent index sets and parameters
- Build a low-level runtime specification in an intermediate mathematical notation
 - similar to AMPL or other modeling languages
 - the objective function, constraints, bounds, types of variables, set, parameter, and variable predicates, and direction of optimization
- Pass the low-level runtime specification to the logic engine as part of the definition of the variable predicates



Optimization Execution

The logic engine uses the low-level specification to compute the values of the variable predicates:

- Evaluate all index sets
- Check that parameter predicates have values at required parameters
- Create a OSiL instance data-structure
 - for each variable predicate, at each index, create a unique symbolic instance variable
 - use a small interpreter for the low-level representation
 - symbolically evaluate the objective function, binding instance variables
 - symbolically evaluate the constraints to obtain a constraint matrix
- Invoke OSI library to call the solver on the instance
 - returns a binding of each instance variable to a value (OSrL)
- Map the values of instance variables back to the variable predicate
- Continue with LB execution
 - Eventually runtime constraints are executed with real values of variables to *check* the solution





- Both index sets and parameters can be computed by rules
 - e.g., dependent and arbitrary patterns of indexing of variables and sets
- Incremental evaluation forces re-computation of the optimization solution when parameter data changes
- Additional options can be passed to OSoL through the meta-model
 - predicates in the database that store the information about executing the optimizer, e.g., which optimizer to use
- Optimization Services library is essential
 - we avoid re-implementing our infrastructure for different solvers
 - clear, high-level API for solver/optimization users (not necessarily experts)
 - good XML support for debugging of instances





- Increase expressiveness
 - Disjunctive constraints
 - Compile into conjunctive constraints using known techniques
 - Non-linear constraints
 - Already supported by OS. We just need to extend our compiler slightly to construct the non-linear OS instances.
 - What-if evaluation
 - If user interactively changes data in a variable predicate, turn it into extra constraints and re-solve
- Use optimization techniques to extend expressive power of Datalog
 - Compile disjunctive Datalog into integer optimization problems
- Increase efficiency
 - Automatically detect fastest possible solver based on types and constraints (e.g., don't use an integer solver if all variables are reals)
 - Warm-start (e.g., can we make solvers at least partly incremental, like LB Datalog rules)
 - Automatically break up problems based on data dependencies and solve in parallel





THE END





- Given
 - Index sets
 - FOOD : set of foods
 - NUTR : set of nutrients
 - Parameters
 - amt[n,f] = v: the amount v of a nutrient n in food f
 - nutrLow[n]=v: the minimum daily amount of nutrient n
 - cost[f]=v: the cost of food f
- Objective
 - Variable(s): buy[f] = v : amount of food to buy, for each f in FOOD
 - Minimize total cost of food while satisfying the constraint that the daily minimum amount for each nutrient is met



Diet problem in LB Datalog

- Index sets are implemented as entities
 - Abstract values denoting distinct items NUTR(x), NUTR:name(x:n) -> string(n).
 FOOD(x), FOOD:name(x:n) -> string(n).
- Parameters are represented as functional predicates with an index set domain, and a numeric range amt[n, f] = a -> NUTR(n), FOOD(f), float[64](a), a >= 0. nutrLow[n] = nL -> NUTR(n), float[64](nL), nL >= 0. cost[f] = c -> FOOD(f), float[64](c), c >= 0.
- Variables are represented as functional predicates with an index set domain, and a numeric range
 - Note the runtime constraint that buy[f] >= 0 as a part of type declaration

Buy[f] = b -> FOOD(f), float[64](b), b>=0.



Diet problem in LB Datalog

- Objective function is represented as a predicate that computes its value from the index sets and variables totalCost[] = x -> float[32](x). totalCost[] += cost[f] * Buy[f] where FOOD(f).
 - totalCost is a functional predicate containing only one value
 - It is an aggregation (sum) of cost[f] * buy[f] for each food f
- Constraints are represented as LB Datalog runtime constraints involving the variable predicate totalNutrAmt[n] += amt[n,f] * Buy[f] where FOOD(f). !(NUTR(n), totalNutrAmt[n] < nutrLow[n]).
 - The constraint states that the total amount of each nutrient is never less than the required daily minimum
 - Auxiliary predicate totalNutrAmt[n] computes the total amount of nutrient n in all purchased foods





- The Datalog rule that computes buy[f] puts all those elements together
 - Built-in *higher-order* predicate *solve*:minimize is the interface to the solver
 - Takes the value of the objective function
 - Based on runtime constraints in the block, runs the solver to compute the value of the variable predicates

Buy[f] = result <- result = (solve:minimize[cost][f] where totalCost[]=cost).</pre>

