

Diego Klabjan, Jun Ma, Robert Fourer – Northwestern University

# **ALGEBRAIC MODELING IN DATALOG**

---

# DATALOG

---

- ✘ Data query languages
  - + SQL, Xquery
  - + No procedural or declarative abilities
- ✘ Procedural and declarative languages
  - + No data querying capabilities
- ✘ Best of both worlds

# DATALOG

# ALGEBRAIC MODELING

---

## Specialized

AMPL, AIMMS,  
OPL, GAMS

MPL

## Embedded in Languages

Concert,  
Flops++ (C++)

Pyomo, Poams  
(Python)

## Embedded in Data Language

SQL, PLAM  
(prolog),  
XQuery (OSmL)

Datalog (LB)

# SQL

---

- ✘ Modeling in SQL by Linderoth, Atamturk, Savelsbergh
- ✘ SQL mainly about querying
  - + Not suited for algebraic modeling
- ✘ Everything stored in tables
  - + Variables
  - + Constraints
- ✘ Modeling non intuitive

# MODELING IN DATALOG

---

- ✘ Powerful data capabilities
  - + Superset of SQL
  - + Data from database
  - + Querying and loading
- ✘ Declarative language
  - + Natural constructs
  - + Intuitive
- ✘ Hardly any development effort

# MODELING IN DATALOG

---

- ✗ Given values to decision variables
  - + Easy to check feasibility
    - ✗ Clearly not optimality
  - + Underlying logic programming in Datalog
  - + No extra effort required
- ✗ Not the case for most other algebraic modeling languages

# BASIC BUILDING BLOCKS (DIET PROBLEM)

## ✘ Index sets

```
NUTR(x), NUTR:name(x:n) -> string(n).  
FOOD(x), FOOD:name(x:n) -> string(n).
```

## ✘ Parameters

### + Input data

```
amt[n, f] = a -> NUTR(n), FOOD(f), float[64](a), a >= 0.  
nutrLow[n] = nL -> NUTR(n), float[64](nL), nL >= 0.  
cost[f] = c -> FOOD(f), float[64](c), c >= 0.
```

## ✘ Variables

```
Buy[f] = b -> FOOD(f), float[64](b), b >= 0.
```

# PRODUCTION/TRANSPORTATION MODEL

- ✘ Multiple products (PROD), plants (ORIG) and destinations (DEST)
- ✘ Ship from plants to destinations
  - + Transportation problem for each product

$$\sum_{j \in DEST} Trans_{i,j,p} = Make_{i,p} \quad i \in ORIG, p \in PROD$$

$$\sum_{i \in ORIG} Trans_{i,j,p} = demand_{j,p} \quad j \in DEST, p \in PROD$$



# PRODUCTION/TRANSPORTATION MODEL

- ✘ Limited production capacity at each plant

$$\sum_{p \in PROD} (1/rate_{i,p} * Make_{i,p}) \leq avail_i \quad i \in ORIG$$

- ✘ Objective to minimize production and transportation costs

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize}_x \quad & \sum_{i \in ORIG, p \in PROD} (makeCost_{i,p} * Make_{i,p}) \\ & + \sum_{i \in ORIG, j \in DEST, p \in PROD} (transCost_{i,j,p} * Trans_{i,j,p}) \end{aligned}$$

# DATALOG MODEL

---

## × Sets

*PROD(p), PROD: name(p:n) → string(n).*

*ORIG(o), ORIG: name(o:n) → string(n).*

*DEST(d), DEST: name(d:n) → string(n).*

## × Data

*+PROD(p), +PROD: name(p:SKU1).*

*+PROD(p), +PROD: name(p:SKU2).*

*+PROD(p), +PROD: name(p:SKU3).*

# DATALOG MODEL

---

## ✘ Input parameters

$avail[o] = av \rightarrow ORIG(o), float[32](av).$

$rate[o, p] = rv \rightarrow ORIG(o), PROD(p), float[32](rv).$

$demand[d, p] = dm \rightarrow DEST(d), PROD(p), float[32](dm).$

$makeCost[o, p] = mc \rightarrow ORIG(o), PROD(p), float[32](mc).$

$transCost[o, d, p] = tc \rightarrow ORIG(o), DEST(d), PROD(p), float[32](tc).$

# DATALOG MODEL

---

## × Variables

- + How much to transport
- + How much to produce

$Make[o, p] = mk \rightarrow ORIG(o), PROD(p), float[32](mk).$

$Trans[o, d, p] = tr \rightarrow ORIG(o), DEST(d), PROD(p), float[32](tr).$

## × Other variables

- + Integer replace “float” with “int”
- + Binary are integer with upper bound of 1

# DATALOG MODEL

---

## ✗ Production availability

- + `sumTime` predicate captures the left-hand side
- + `agg` built-in aggregator
- + Constraint negated (stratification restrictions of Datalog)

$sumTime[o] = st \rightarrow ORIG(o), float[32](st).$

$sumTime[o] = st < - agg \ll st = total(v) \gg$

$v = (1/rate[o,p]) * Make[o,p].$

$!(sumTime(o; t1), avail(o; t2), t1 > t2).$

# DATALOG MODEL

---

## ✗ Demand constraints

*sumDemand[d, p] = v -> DEST(d), PROD(p), float[32](v).*

*sumDemand[d, p] = sd < - agg << sd = total(m) >>*

*m = Trans[o, d, p].*

*! (sumDemand(d, p; dv), demand(d, p; mv), dv > mv).*

# DATALOG MODEL

---

## ✗ Supply constraints

$sumSupply[o, p] = v \rightarrow ORIG(o), PROD(p), float[32](v).$

$sumSupply[o, p] = ss < -agg \ll ss = total(m) \gg$

$m = Trans[o, d, p].$

$!(sumSupply(o, p; sv), Make(o, p; mv), sv > mv).$

# DATALOG MODEL

---

## ✗ Objective function

### + Production cost

$TotalMakeCost[] = tmc \rightarrow float[32](tmc).$

$TotalMakeCost[ ] = tmc < - agg \ll tmc = total(v) \gg$

$v = make\_cost[o,p] * Make[o,p].$

$TotalTransCost[] = ttc \rightarrow float[32](ttc).$

### + Transportation cost

$TotalTransCost[ ] = ttc < - agg \ll ttc = total(v) \gg$

$v = trans\_cost[o,d,p] * Trans[o,d,p].$



# TOTAL COST

---

- ✘ Sum of the two cost components

*TotalCost[] = tc -> float[32](tc).*

*TotalCost[] = TotalMakeCost[] + TotalTransCost[].*

# ENHANCED MODELING CAPABILITIES

---

## ✘ The fleeting model

- + Assign fleets to flights
- + Modeled as a multi-commodity network flow problem
- + Network aspects

## ✘ Challenges

- + Nodes at each airports sorted based on the arrival/departure time in a circular fashion
- + Ordered and circular lists

# FLIGHTS

---

## ✦ Specification of flights

`Leg(l), Leg:name(l:n) -> string(n).`

`Leg:table(s1,t1,s2,t2,l) -> Station(s1),  
Time(t1), Station(s2), Time(t2), Leg(l).`

`Leg:table:dStation[l]=s1 -> Station(s1),  
Leg(l).`

`Leg:table:dTime[l]=t1 -> Time(t1), Leg(l).`

`Leg:table:aStation[l]=s2 -> Station(s2),  
Leg(l).`

`Leg:table:aTime[l]=t2 -> Time(t2), Leg(l).`

# NETWORK NODES

---

- ✘ For each station there is a timeline consisting of network nodes
  - + Either arrival or departure at station

```
node(s,t) -> Station(s), Time(t).
```

```
node(s,t) <- Leg:table(s1,t1,_,_,_), (s=s1, t=t1); Leg:table(,_,s2,t2,_), (s=s2, t=t2).
```

# ORDERED CIRCULAR LISTS

---

- ✘ Declare 'next' in the list

  - + Time is an integer-like structure to capture times

```
node:nxt[s,t1] = t2 -> Station(s),  
  Time(t1), Time(t2).
```

- ✘ Order

```
node:nxt[s,t1] = t2 <- node(s,t1),  
  node(s,t2),  
  (Time:datetime[t1]<Time:datetime[t2]  
  ],!anythingInBetween(s, t1,t2);  
node:frst[s]=t2, node:lst[s]=t1).
```

# MISSING ASPECTS

---

- ✗ Piecewise linear functions
  - + Model them explicitly
  - + Unfortunately OS cannot handle them explicitly
    - ✗ LogicBlox needs to convert them into a linear mixed integer program
- ✗ Disjunctions
- ✗ Nonlinear functions
  - + Long term goal